

Name: TODD MICHAEL SCHULTZ

Address: 818 N DOHENY DR. #1108

WEST HOLLYWOOD, CA 90069

Phone: 310-435-5847

Email: toddschultz86@gmail.com

In Pro Per

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA - LOS ANGELES

TODD MICHAEL SCHULTZ

V.

MICHAEL C. THOMPSON
GREGORY R. HOLMES
YOUTUBE LLC

2:23-CV-03452-JAK-MRWx
Honorable Judge John A. Kronstadt

REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE

To All Parties and Attorneys of Record:

Please take notice Plaintiff, Todd Michael Schultz (onward, "Plaintiff") requests that pursuant to Federal Evidence Code 402 - providing that all relevant evidence is admissible - requests the court to take notice of relevant writings and ideas presented by the United States Department of Justice concerning the adjudication, effects, etc, as it pertains to the functionality and dysfunctionality of Section 230 ("Communications Decency Act" further "§230") especially as it pertains to - Plaintiff has been told during the Fed.P.C.26 conference between Plaintiff and YouTube LLC 's counsel, Mr. Conor Tucker (onward "Youtube") - what will be a motion to dismiss invoking §230 on behalf of Youtube.

1 1. Documents From The U.S. Department of Justice

2
3 Plaintiff requests that the court consider the June 2020 document from
4 the U.S. Department of Justice entitled "Section 230 Nurturing
5 Innovation or Fostering Unaccountability." Specifically, Section 4 (b),
6 which seeks to specify the meaning of "good faith" as it refers to
7 moderation of content by corporations like Youtube. A section from said
8 document states: "Clarifying the meaning of "good faith" should
9 encourage platforms to be more transparent and accountable to their
10 users, rather than hide behind blanket Section 230 protections."

11
12 Plaintiff strongly feels that this case is broader than 230, however,
13 Plaintiff acknowledges the commonplaceness of 230 barring any
14 scrutiny of companies such as Youtube as it relates to their relationship
15 with their users and their decision making process, as it pertains to
16 moderation. Plaintiff feels a dismissal of this case would be to forgo the
17 opportunity to clarify the important matter of good faith moderation and
18 which would make more duly aware of rules and regulationst that may
19 go on to determine the course of many lives, without exaggeration.

20
21 Dated:
22 Friday, July 14th, 2023

23
24 Signed by
25 Todd Michael Schultz
26 (Plaintiff)